THE HONOURABLE SHRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 23522 OF 2006

Dated 15th March, 2007

Between:

P.Naren Bharadwaj

…Petitioner

And

The Director of Evaluation, J.N.T. University
(ECET-2006), Hyderabad and others.

…Respondents.

ORAL ORDER:

In this writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the proceedings issued by the 4th respondent, by which, he was informed that his application which was sent for clearance and issuance of Hall Ticket for registration for II year I semester examinations has been returned by the Director of Evaluation, J.N.T. University, Hyderabad and, therefore, he cannot appear for the examinations of II year – I semester, as illegal and arbitrary.

The petitioner secured admission under Lateral Entry Scheme having completed his diploma course during the academic year 2006-07. Though his admission was approved by the competent authority i.e. A.P.State Council of Higher Education at the first instance, but, however, by computing the attendance from the beginning of the academic year, he was not allowed to write examinations as he did not put in 75% of attendance.

At the stage of admission, this court granted directions to allow the petitioner to write examinations of I semester of II year, provided, the petitioner has put in 75% of attendance from the date of admission into the course till the end of semester. Pursuant to the said orders, he was allowed to write the examinations.

Though no counter affidavit is filed on behalf of the respondent-University, but the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the University submits that there were about totally 600 such students and a general decision has been taken to allow the said students to write examinations as much as they have put in minimum required attendance from the date of their admission till the end of the course.

In view of the said submission, no further adjudication is necessary on merits, and, I dispose of the writ petition directing the respondents to announce the results of the examinations for which the petitioner has appeared and allow him to prosecute the course as per the regulations.

Subject to above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

15.3.2007

R.SUBHASH REDDY, J.

VR